

Estimation of the Porosity and Pore Shape of the Haynesville Shale using the **Self-Consistent Model and** a Grid Search Method **Meijuan Jiang Advisor: Kyle Spikes EDGER Forum Presentation** 02/27/2012 JNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN





Reservoir Characterization for Unconventional Gas Shale:

What properties to characterize?

What data to use?

What method to use?

How to address uncertainty?





Images from: Curtis et al.,2010

MOTIVATION

Reservoir Characterization for Unconventional Gas Shale:

What properties to characterize? Porosity Pore Shape

What data to use? Well Log Data (Well A and B) Core Data (Well A) Seismic Data

What method to use? Self-Consistent Model A Grid Search Method

How to address uncertainty?





Images from: Curtis et al.,2010

THE HAYNESVILLE SHALE



Age: ~ 150 ma, Jurassic; Capacity: TOC ~ 5% on average; ~ 100 tcf; Depth: 10,000 ft to 13,000 ft; Variable porosity, low permeability.



THE HAYNESVILLE SHALE: MICROSTRUCTURE



Images from: Curtis et al.,2010







Aspect ratio = c/a

WELL LOG DATA: WELL A & B



WELL LOG DATA: WELL A & B



COMPOSITION: XRD RESULT FROM CORE MEASUREMENT

Data from Dr. Ursula Hammes in BEG



28 samples at different depths within the Haynesville formation



SELF CONSISTENT MODEL (SCM)

Advantages:

--Not limited by certain composition --Ability to model N phases, and their shapes and spatial distribution

Equations: Mavko et al., 2009

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i (K_i - K_{sc}) U_i = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i(\mu_i - \mu_{sc})V_i = 0$$





In-situ pore fluid bulk modulus of 1 is used

POROSITY ESTIMATION



POROSITY ESTIMATION

Grid Search Method



CALIBRATE SCM FROM WELL A: COMPOSITION CONSTRAINT



CALIBRATE SCM FROM WELL A: PORE SHAPE CONSTRAINT



CALIBRATE SCM FROM WELL A: COMPOSITION AND

PORE SHAPE CONSTRAINTS





The composition assemblage:

38% quartz, 30% clay, 14% limestone,7.8% plagioclase, 5% kerogen, 3%dolomite, 2% pyrite, and 0.2% feldspar

The pore aspect ratios $\sim N(0.12, 0.01^2)$

UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS IN THE FORWARD PROBLEM





POROSITY ESTIMATION



GRID SEARCH METHOD





GRID SEARCH METHOD: WELL A RESULT





GRID SEARCH METHOD: WELL B RESULT





DISCUSSION: SPATIAL VARIATION



5450 5500 H 45550 5600 5600 5700 7 8 9 10 11 12

Well B

The pore size, pore shape, and composition may vary spatially within the Haynesville Shale formation

PORE SHAPE ESTIMATION

Repeat at Each Depth



PORE ASPECT RATIO ESTIMATION: WELL A INPUTS AND MODEL



22/29

PORE ASPECT RATIO ESTIMATION: WELL A RESULT





PORE ASPECT RATIO ESTIMATION: WELL B INPUTS AND MODEL



24/29

PORE ASPECT RATIO ESTIMATION: WELL B INPUTS AND MODEL





DISCUSSION

Porosity estimation can be verified at the well locations

Pore aspect ratio estimation cannot be verified. However, microstructure images of core samples provide helpful information

SCHOOL OF GEOSCIENCES



Images from: Curtis et al.,2010

DISCUSSION

Simultaneously estimate Porosity and Pore Aspect Ratio, using both P- and S-Impedance



spatially continuous distributions of P- and S-impedances Estimate continuous porosity and pore aspect ratio distributions for 3D volume

CONCLUSIONS

The self-consistent model provided constraints on the composition and pore shape based on P-impedance for the Haynesville Shale

Porosity and pore shape for the Haynesville Shale has been characterized by the rock physics modeling and a grid search method

Invert 3D seismic data to obtain spatially continuous distributions of P- and S-impedances, and therefore obtain 3D porosity and pore aspect ratio distributions



Special Thanks to our Sponsors

